

The Patriots' Truth

Flint Hills TEA Party News

See us at McALISTER'S DELI, EVERY WED after 5:30pm ---- Everyone is welcome, we ARE the Working Group. WELCOME! For monthly meetings, 2nd Saturday of each month. Always interesting & informative, join us!! **Next meeting: March 9 – 9:30AM, location to be announced.** House Bill No. 2199 is coming up for vote – it is an outstanding Second Amendment bill so call, email, write, by whatever means possible, contact your State Senator and Representative to vote YES for it. Come to our meetings, we intend to have candidates in local elections talk to us. Come listen and ask questions, express your concerns and views, help US all help each other. We have made headway!! We MUST keep the momentum rolling to bring our USA back to the Constitution, “We the People”, and GOD!!!!!! Let's put GOD back in our schools, our state & federal government!!

CONSERVATIVE DOC REVEALS WHY HE SPOKE OUT AGAINST OBAMA'S POLICIES AT PRAYER BREAKFAST – PLUS, WOULD HE EVER RUN FOR PRESIDENT?

By Jason Howerton on Feb. 8, 2013 at 11:15pm – The Blaze

With President Barack Obama sitting just feet away, Dr. Benjamin Carson stood up for conservative principles in his speech at Thursday's National Prayer Breakfast, discussing the national debt, political correctness and even healthcare. Readers loved his speech so much that [TheBlaze's story](#) on it went viral.

On Friday, Carson appeared on Fox News' "Hannity" to explain why he felt compelled to speak out against the big government policies endorsed by Obama, in front of Obama.

Carson, a renowned neurosurgeon, said the response to his speech has been “overwhelmingly” positive. However, he argued he didn't make a conservative argument, but rather a “logical” and “common-sense” one.

“I don't know where we left our brains,” he told host Sean Hannity.

Carson went on to talk about his life story, saying he grew up in a single parent home in a poverty-stricken area. He said his mother “refused to be a victim” and “never felt sorry for herself,” despite only having a third grade education.

Regarding his comments on political correctness, the neurosurgeon emphasized the importance of “freedom of speech and freedom of expression” in the United States.

“And yet, we have imposed upon people restrictions on what they say, on what they can think. The media, I think is the largest proponent of this,” he explained.

With President Barack Obama sitting just feet away, Dr. Benjamin Carson stood up for conservative principles in his speech at Thursday's National Prayer Breakfast, discussing the national debt, political correctness and even healthcare. Readers loved his speech so much that [TheBlaze's story](#) on it went viral.

On Friday, Carson appeared on Fox News' "Hannity" to explain why he felt compelled to speak out against the big government policies endorsed by Obama, in front of Obama.

Carson, a renown neurosurgeon, said the response to his speech has been “overwhelmingly” positive. However, he argued he didn't make a conservative argument, but rather a “logical” and “common-sense” one.

“I don't know where we left our brains,” he told host Sean Hannity.

Carson went on to talk about his life story, saying he grew up in a single parent home in a poverty-stricken area. He said his mother “refused to be a victim” and “never felt sorry for herself,” despite only having a third grade education.

Regarding his comments on political correctness, the neurosurgeon emphasized the importance of “freedom of speech and freedom of expression” in the United States.

“And yet, we have imposed upon people restrictions on what they say, on what they can think. The media, I think is the largest proponent of this,” he explained.

He lambasted the media's outrage at Brent Musburger's flattering comments about Alabama beauty queen

Katherine Webb during the BCS National Championship.

In the second portion of his interview with Hannity, Carson argued he didn't craft his message based on who was going to be in attendance. In other words, wasn't trying to stick it to Obama in his speech.

"It really didn't matter who I was sitting in front of, because I always pray before I give a speech and I ask God to give me the right things to say. And I think that it's very rare these days for people to speak the truth," he said. "Meanwhile, the fabric of our nation is being destroyed.

One of the "real dangers" facing America, according to Carson, is the fact that people don't know "anything" about things like history or technology. "They don't think for themselves," he added.

Seizing upon what many of Carson's supporters are probably wondering, Hannity asked him if he would ever consider running for president. The doctor did not rule it out, but said God would have to "grab him by the collar and make him do it."

The Wall Street Journal too in an opinion piece titled "[Ben Carson for President](#)" called up his potential for the Oval Office. Here's a bit from WSJ regarding Carson's "un-PC" ideas at the White House prayer breakfast, which included flat tax and healthcare:

Dr. Carson tossed over the PC police years ago. Raised by a single mother in inner-city Detroit, he was as he tells it "a horrible student with a horrible temper." Today he's director of pediatric neurosurgery at Johns Hopkins and probably the most renowned specialist in his field.

Not surprisingly, a practicing physician has un-PC thoughts on health care:

"Here's my solution: When a person is born, give him a birth certificate, an electronic medical record, and a health savings account to which money can be contributed—pretax—from the time you're born 'til the time you die. If you die, you can pass it on to your family members, and there's nobody talking about death panels. We can make contributions for people who are indigent. Instead of sending all this money to some bureaucracy, let's put it in their HSAs. Now they have some control over their own health care. And very quickly they're going to learn how to be responsible."

The Johns Hopkins neurosurgeon may not be politically correct, but he's closer to correct than we've heard in years.

[Go to Hannity.com and listen to Dr. Carson's speech – it is well worth your time and effort – even IF you HAVE to go to your local library to do it. Dr. Carson refers to the "Fair Tax" in his speech – there will be info on that in the next Patriot's Truth. Do listen to this speech – it is well worth your time and effort.](#)

Dear God, when I feel like giving up, help me keep the end in sight. Amen

"Let us not become weary in doing good; for at the proper time we will reap a harvest if we do not give up."
--- Galatians 6:9

I promised you some info on "Fair Tax". Here are the basics.

1. No 0% Personal income tax
 2. No 0% LLC Income Tax
 3. No 0% Corporate income tax
 4. No 0 cuts to current budget involved
- Sales tax decreases to the 5.7% (as the taxpayers were promised)
\$2 billion surplus in the first 10 years so no need to cut current programs.
And every family (person) gets a monthly rebate check. Even the Democrats, so if you want happy voters the Fair Tax is the only way to go. The only people that will be unhappy are the special interest groups.

"It is the manners and spirit of a people which preserve a republic in vigor. A degeneracy in these is a canker which soon eats to the heart of its laws and constitution."
--Thomas Jefferson, Notes on Virginia Query 19, 1781

Marines Were Disarmed for President Obama's Second Inaugural Parade

Posted on February 11, 2013 by CCONSERVATIVEbyte.com

He's gutted their healthcare, plans to cut their pay and apparently, doesn't trust them either. David Codrea over at Gun Rights Examiner points out that Marines marching in President Obama's second inaugural parade recently were caring rifles without bolts, meaning they were removed.

"Didn't know the Marines had to take the bolts out of their rifles for the Inaugural," an email

forwarded to Gun Rights Examiner from a United States Marine Corps source observed. "Wonder if someone can explain why [they] would be marching in the inaugural parade with no bolts in their rifles!"

The email linked to a YouTube video of the 57th Presidential Inaugural Parade, embedded in this column, featuring Bravo Company Marines from the Marine Barracks Washington. Sure enough, the observation in the email is confirmed by watching the video, with screen shots provided in the photo and slide show accompanying this article.

This prompted an internet search to see if others had also noticed, and the Blur-Brain blog had. "The bolts have been removed from the rifles rendering them unable to fire a round," the post stated. "Apparently Obama's Secret Service doesn't trust the USMC. Simply searching each guy to make sure he didn't have a live round hidden on him wasn't enough, they had to make sure the guns were inoperable. Wondering if this may be an inauguration policy of long standing that transcends administrations, Gun Rights Examiner made a cursory search and found something even more curious. In the 2009 Inaugural Parade, the United States Navy marched with rifles that had not been so disabled (see 1:24 into that video plus the final slide).

Well, if I had treated people like he has treated our Military I might not want them behind me with a loaded weapon. Frankly, I believe our military has more intelligence than that, but – well, who knows – look at the situation with the fired policeman in CA.

"Let us consider, brethren, we are struggling for our best birthrights and inheritance, which being infringed, renders all our blessings precarious in their enjoyments, and, consequently trifling in their value. Let us disappoint the Men who are raising themselves on the ruin of this Country. Let us convince every invader of our freedom, that we will be as free as the constitution our fathers recognized, will justify."

--Samuel Adams, *A State of the Rights of the Colonists*, 1772

Fort Hood Hero Says President Obama 'Betrayed' Her, Another Victim of Obama's

By NED BERKOWITZ and [BRIAN ROSS \(@brianross\)](#) Feb. 12, 2013

Three years after the White House arranged a hero's welcome at the State of the Union address for the Fort Hood police sergeant and her partner who stopped the deadly shooting there, Kimberly Munley says President Obama broke the promise he made to her that the victims would be well taken care of.

"Betrayed is a good word," former Sgt. Munley told ABC News in a tearful interview to be broadcast tonight on "World News with Diane Sawyer" and "Nightline."

"Not to the least little bit have the victims been taken care of," she said. "In fact they've been neglected."

There was no immediate comment from the White House about Munley's allegations.

Thirteen people were killed, including a pregnant soldier, and 32 others shot in the November 2009 rampage by the accused shooter, Major Nidal Hasan, who now awaits a military trial on charges of premeditated murder and attempted murder.

Tonight's broadcast report also includes dramatic new video, obtained by ABC News, taken in the immediate aftermath of the shooting, capturing the chaos and terror of the day.

[WATCH Exclusive Video of Fort Hood's Aftermath](#)

Munley, since laid off from her job with the base's civilian police force, was shot three times as she and her partner, Sgt. Mark Todd, confronted Hasan, who witnesses said had shouted "Allahu Akbar" as he opened fire on soldiers being processed for deployment to Afghanistan.

As Munley lay wounded, Todd fired the five bullets credited with bringing Hasan down.

Despite extensive evidence that Hasan was in communication with al Qaeda leader Anwar al-Awlaki prior to the attack, the military has denied the victims a Purple Heart and is treating the incident as "workplace violence" instead of "combat related" or terrorism.

Munley and dozens of other victims have now filed a lawsuit against the military alleging the "workplace violence" designation means the Fort Hood victims are receiving lower priority access to medical care as veterans, and a loss of financial benefits available to those whose injuries are classified as "combat related."

[READ the Fort Hood Victims' Lawsuit](#)

Some of the victims "had to find civilian doctors to get proper medical treatment" and the military has not assigned liaison officers to help them coordinate their recovery, said the group's lawyer, Reed Rubinstein.

"There's a substantial number of very serious, crippling cases of post-traumatic stress disorder

exacerbated, frankly, by what the Army and the Defense Department did in this case," said Rubinstein. "We have a couple of cases in which the soldiers' command accused the soldiers of malingering, and would say things to them that Fort Hood really wasn't so bad, it wasn't combat."

Pentagon Press Secretary George Little said the Department of Defense is "committed to the highest care of those in our military family."

"Survivors of the incident at Fort Hood are eligible for the same medical benefits as all service members," said Little. "The Department of Defense is also committed to the integrity of the ongoing court martial proceedings of Major Nidal Hasan and for that reason will not at this time further characterize the incident."

Secretary of the Army John McHugh told ABC News he was unaware of any specific complaints from the Fort Hood victims, even though he is a named defendant in the lawsuit filed last November which specifically details the plight of many of them.

"If a soldier feels ignored, then we need to know about it on a case by case basis," McHugh told ABC News. "It is not our intent to have two levels of care for people who are wounded by whatever means in uniform."

Some of the victims in the lawsuit believe the Army Secretary and others are purposely ignoring their cases out of political correctness.

"The beauty of the Second Amendment is that it will not be needed until they try to take it." --Thomas Jefferson

I am certain gun control will be just as successful as drug control has been. -- Unknown

"This year will go down in history. For the first time, a civilized nation has full gun registration. Our streets will be safer, our police more efficient, and they will follow our lead into the future!" – Adolph Hitler, 1935

IF THEY BAN THE GUNS THE 2ND AMERICAN REVOLUTION BEGINS!! -- Citizens of USA

Minimum-Wage Increase Is The Wrong Way To Help Working Poor

Posted by Michael CHILDS, Admin II on February 14, 2013 at 6:02pm in Patriot Action Alerts

What good is a minimum wage increase if there are no jobs? Instead of causing more headaches and panic among Employers why not try to stabilize the economy then begin to create more jobs.

That's why Barack Obama's call to raise the minimum wage in Tuesday's State of the Union speech seemed like such a non sequitur. At least the last time this subject arose, we had enjoyed several years of job-market expansion and increased competition. With businesses laboring under ever-increasing costs (thanks to ObamaCare and expanded federal regulation on energy), this seems like the worst time to propose hiking costs by 24%. As I argue in my new column for [The Fiscal Times](#), it had the opposite effect of its intent the last time we tried it — and young workers are still paying for that policy to this day.

With the insane amount of goodies available for free and absent the requirement to work at least occasionally to continue drawing welfare, I am constantly amazed at some people's willingness to apply for minimum-wage positions. Don't they have a community to organize?

Obama is always a malicious propagandist dummy.

Never assume good intentions from Obama. His destructive plan proceeds, apace.

May all who brung/kept him, and theirs, be utterly destroyed by him. YOU deserve NO less.

What part of the Constitution authorizes the setting of a minimum wage?

That is a question never asked by the Democrats and rarely asked by the GOP. They both seem to think they can do whatever they can cobble together a majority to support.

I am watching my friend as he tries to guide his ex-wife's son toward adulthood. He is working at a Little Caesar's Pizza that keeps his hours under 25/week Their policy won't allow him to work for a competing pizza place. He got a second job at a carwash that also limits his hours. The scheduling is crazy stuff but the kid is getting a lesson.

This is such a no-brainer. But we are living now in the era of the Wedge Issue, and this is a big one that Obama obviously plans to use mostly to bludgeon Republicans in 2014.

What's the average wage for an unskilled worker in Mexico right now? \$3 an hour? How many more will flock to America if they can make \$9 an hour, and who will they vote for?

\$9-\$9-\$9: Herman Cain Calls Obama's Minimum Wage Increase 'Dead Wrong' On Fox

by **Matt Wilstein** | 5:17 pm, February 13th, 2013

When President Obama [proposed raising the minimum wage to \\$9 per hour](#) during Tuesday night's State of the Union address, references to former GOP presidential candidate Herman Cain's 9-9-9 economic plan immediately began flowing on social media. While Cain's plan was based around the number nine, it did not include a provision to raise the national minimum wage. And Wednesday afternoon on Fox News, he told host [Neil Cavuto](#) why he thinks Obama's plan is such a bad idea.

Cavuto began by showing data that demonstrates a correlation between high minimum wage and high unemployment in certain states. He then asked Herman Cain, who as former CEO of Godfather's pizza has some experience with low-wage workers, what business owners around the country are going to do if Obama's wage increase goes into effect.

"Well, they're either going to have people work less hours or they're going to hire less people. That's typically what happens," Cain said, when minimum wage goes up. "Here's where the president is dead wrong," he continued, arguing that raising the minimum wage from \$7.25 to \$9 is "not going to get anybody out of poverty." Cain added that if business owners keep their employees on, the extra cost will just get "passed on to the consumer."

Looking back at his Godfather's experience, Cain said that he would occasionally raise the salaries of his employees above the mandated minimum wage "because of a shortage of workers. So allow the marketplace to do its thing. This illustrates his advisers' total lack of business literacy."

Cain finally addressed the inherent connections between the president's plan and his own 9-9-9 platform, saying, "9 is my favorite number, but not in this case."

Obama's Hijacking the American Dream by Kim Holmes on February 13, 2013, at 2:10pm

President Obama last night continued his hijacking of the American Dream. Using language long accepted as part of the American tradition, he struck a pose as a defender of hard work, responsibility, and other traditional values. We should not be fooled. His vision of the American Dream is not the real deal. Rather, it is an imaginary vision long dreamed of by America's progressives, but also long rejected by the American people.

Obama's "North Star," a phrase he used in last night's State of the Union speech, is to redefine what Americans expect of their government, and in turn, to transform what the government has a right to do to Americans.

He claims he wants to restore the "basic bargain that built this country." If that were true, he would be reducing the dependence of people on government. After all, for most of its history, Americans looked to themselves, not government, to get ahead in life.

But that is not what he means. It is clear from all the new programs he proposed last night, and before, that Obama's American Dream is mainly about what the government can do for people. It's about government benefits and services. It's not what people should be given a right and freedom to do for themselves.

When the President says he wants to restore an American where "you can get ahead, no matter where you come from, no matter what you look like or who you love," you can rest assured he doesn't mean what most Americans are hearing. It sounds as if he's raising a flag in defense of self-reliance and responsibility. But that's not what he's doing. From all the new government programs he has proposed it's clear he means the exact opposite: doubling down on the welfare state and adding a crippling debt that mortgages our children's future.

When he talks about building "new ladders of opportunity into the middle class," you can bet he doesn't mean empowering individuals with the equality of opportunity. He doesn't think of liberalizing the economy to get it growing again, which would help bring lower-income people into the middle class. Rather, he means using government programs for lower-income people, which traps them in poor and dangerous neighborhoods.

When he calls for stronger families, you would think that he means reducing that welfare dependency that has accompanied the breakup of families in low-income neighborhoods. But in reality, he has only doubled down on the welfare state that has decimated these families.

When he suggests encouraging "free enterprise," you would think he means cutting regulations so

businesses can start hiring again. But his support for the Dodd-Frank financial bill and other regulations imposed on the economy is moving the country in the opposite direction.

Here's an idea: How about we start a truth in advertising campaign concerning the American Dream? No spin. No twisting of meanings of words. Just a straightforward understanding of what it has meant to Americans down the ages.

Maybe then, at least the American people would understand where Obama is taking the country.

Kim R. Holmes is a distinguished fellow at The Heritage Foundation and author of a forthcoming book on how to get America back on track.

"The care of human life and happiness, and not their destruction, is the first and only legitimate object of good government." --Thomas Jefferson, letter to *The Republican Citizens of Washington County, Maryland, 1809*

Tide is Turning in Abortion Debate—Liberals Run Scared

Filed under [Abortion](#), [Liberalism](#), [Media](#) posted on [February 14, 2013](#) by [Frank Camp](#)

Kurt Cobain said: "The duty of youth is to challenge corruption." I have often—specifically in these columns—harshly criticized the youth of American, and young people in general, because of their impulsivity, and capriciousness. I have even gone so far as to advocate the repeal of the 26th amendment; preferring an older base voting age of 25. That being said—though young people can indeed be volatile and easily manipulated—youth does not always equal foolishness. A new trend is emerging that gives me hope for the future of our country.

According to a Gallup poll, Americans aged 18-29 are trending toward a more pro-life set of beliefs.

The following is an excerpt from the Gallup data:

"The [poll] focused on public support for the 'legal under any circumstances' option in Gallup's abortion question. There is a somewhat different pattern in the trends by age for those choosing the 'illegal in all circumstances' position...Two important changes are apparent. One is a significant drop in the percentage of seniors saying all abortions should be illegal...This long-term 11-point decline among seniors compares with a 9-point increase — from 14% to 23% — in support for the 'illegal in all circumstances' position among 18- to 29-year-olds since the early 1990s."

This trend is causing the aggressively pro-abortion advocacy group NARAL to freshen up its image. According to Janie Lorber: "NARAL leaders have not been shy about acknowledging an "intensity" gap, making it a major theme of last week's event...Advocates on both sides of the debate agree that young adults fighting abortion are much more engaged." For a group like NARAL to have to attempt such a reinvention shows signs of fear amongst the liberal, pro-choice base.

With advances in ultrasound technology, and the availability of pro-life information online; maybe the tide is turning in the abortion debate. It seems to me that young pro-life advocates are pushing back so hard because they are fighting for life. That would account for such an enthusiasm gap. Young pro-life advocates are crusading for the lives of the unborn.

The youth on the Left are fighting for nothing. If you ask a young pro-choicer *why* they believe what they believe, you are likely to hear platitudes about "a woman's body," and "a woman's right to choose." Delve deeper, and ask them if they know the procedures involved in an abortion; they will become indignant, and try to lure you back into a maze of circular logic, ending right back at "a woman's right to choose." Young pro-choicers lack enthusiasm because—beyond Liberal surface level talking points—they have **no idea** what they are fighting for.

What it comes down to is platitudes versus actual, fact-based information. Platitudes may be flashy, and they may go down easy, but facts—no matter how unpleasant—always triumph in the end. This enthusiasm gap between young pro-lifers and pro-choicers can only become larger.

I often criticize young people, but this poll is significant. It may, in fact, be the youth that change the name of the abortion debate in the years to come. It is the duty of youth to challenge corruption; and maybe—finally—they are doing just that.

I happened onto a Conservative site: www.wildbillforamerica.com; "Our God and Country Commentator". His Mission is:

I: “To support and encourage the TEA Party and other conservative Americans because they are the people who will guard America’s freedom and heritage, ensuring that the United States will continue to be the greatest nation in history.”

II: “To promote Bible can values and remind American’s of the importance of faith in God, His Son, Jesus Christ and His written Word, as our ultimate guide to all of life.”

III: “To speak out boldly on the most important issues of our time, with just the right amount of humor and satire to keep it interesting.”

IV: “To keep America as one nation under God, with liberty and justice for all.”

Go in and enjoy what “Wild Bill” has to say.



Dear Sylva,

2013 marks the 100th Anniversary of the passing of the Federal Reserve Act, which created the government’s secretive central bank: the Fed – and it hasn’t been pretty. It’s time to audit the Fed and let the sunshine in.

In the last 100 years, the Fed has contributed to the worst economic downturns our country has ever seen, from the Great Depression to the stagflation of the 1970’s, and the Dot-Com bubble of the 1990’s to the Great Recession of today. This is a disastrous track record.

While most Americans didn’t pay attention to the Fed until the TARP bailouts, the government has fought tooth-and-nail to keep the Fed’s actions a secret. But the time has come to shine a light on the Fed. If the Fed gave out hundreds of billions of dollars to foreign dictators, would you want to know?

The first-ever partial audit of the Fed in 2010 revealed incredible cronyism. From General Electric to Germany and France, the Fed has been printing trillions of dollars for its friends – **and that’s just the tip of the iceberg.**

It’s time to open the books on the central bank.

In Liberty,

Matt Kibbe President and CEO, FreedomWorks

What is a Hollow Military Force?

Posted by Roger O'Daniel on February 14, 2013 at 2:00am

A hollow tree looks healthy on the outside, but its core wood is rotten. One strong wind, a heavy snow, sleet, or freezing rain cracks it open and it crashes.

A naval warship cannot move if:

1. Its metal starts to rust for lack of paint,
2. Wear parts cannot be lubricated or replaced,
3. It has no fuel, and/or
4. The crew is not available to care for it.

A warship cannot survive in combat if:

1. It runs out of bombs, torpedoes, missiles, ammunition,
2. It has no properly trained people to guide the ship, detect the enemy presence, or use its weapons with superior skill. and/or
3. The ship’s defensive weapons are useless because the technology cannot detect and destroy an enemy’s weapons before they can do their damage.

An Air Force is hollow when pilots climb into aircraft that are:

1. Older than their pilots (average aircraft age is 25 years, some are over 50 years old),
2. With flight training cut to the bone,
3. Have obsolete avionics,
4. Have deferred maintenance for lack of spare parts,
5. Have unrepairable stress cracks in their airframe,
6. Have no chance of survival against modern surface-to-air missiles, and

7. The enemy has superior aircraft with highly-trained pilots flying them.

The US Army has a hollow force if:

1. Their training is inadequate to prepare them for their combat roles,
2. The enemy controls the sky above them,
3. They cannot get to the enemy in time to make a difference,
4. Their equipment is worn out with over-use,
5. They lack the supplies, weapons and close air support to sustain their mission,
5. They lack the communication, intelligence, security and reconnaissance to make a successful mission possible,
6. They lack search and rescue,
7. They cannot service their equipment, and/or
8. Run out of food, shelter, ammunition and weaponry.

Drones are not the only answer

Remotely piloted aircraft (RPA, UAV) mistakenly called “drones” do not have a piloted aircraft’s reaction speed. Pilot/aircraft communication requires time. Routers, network switches, uplinks, cross-links and downlinks repeat, strengthen, encrypt and decrypt everything. Pilots also share bandwidth. That activity slows reaction speed.

Therefore, RPAs cannot live in hostile airspace or takeoff/land in hostile ground space. If communication satellites are blown to pieces or rendered useless, unpiloted aircraft are useless.

If sequestration becomes reality, our military risks a hollow force. As we get closer to March 1st, we approach our #1 enemy – namely ourselves. Without Congressional and Presidential action, automatic budget cuts of \$1.2 Trillion will happen. The defense budget will be reduced about \$55 billion per year until 2021 with 42.7 billion in the remaining seven months of this year. This cut is over and above the \$50 billion per year reduction that already occurred. The Air Force share of this extra hit is \$12.4 billion over the seven months remaining in the current fiscal year.

The problem is even worse because everything the US Military buys will increase about 10% per year caused by inflation of our currency. If any production contracts require termination or a quantity reduction, the change-order costs will waste any potential savings.

The short-term effects are devastating:

1. Up to 800,000 DOD employees could be put on a four-day work week starting in April 2013, resulting in reduced pay for each person.
2. The stock market for the Defense Industry sector already is in free-fall. These corporations and their secondary suppliers already are issuing 60-day layoff notices anticipating that sequestration will occur.
3. Up to 35% of our ROTC graduates will not receive their Commission.
4. Flight hours will have to be reduced by a third.
5. Weapon system sustainment would be cut by as much as 18%. That reduction severely reduces aircraft availability and mission capability.
6. Two million private-sector jobs will be lost according to a 2012 study by Dr. Stephen Fuller at George Mason University. I cannot find one example where we cut defense to this degree while, at the same time, fight a war.

I frequently remind people that the Preamble to the Constitution says “ ... provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare ...” “Provide” is a mandate. “Promote” is a marketing project. In reality, the verbs (at least here in Washington) seem to be swapped.

These political leaders took a solemn oath to preserve and protect our Constitution. Many of them step all over our supreme law of the land with impunity. They violate their oath without concern or reprisal.

Politicians must hear our voices about the effects of sequestration. Secretary Panetta said this. “Instead of being a first rate power in the world, we’d turn into a second rate power.” It is irresponsible to let this happen after so much sacrifice.

“... after so much sacrifice.” I doubt if there is an American family that has lived legally in this country for 10 or more that has not had a family member in the military, police force, fireman or maybe a member that was killed in the line of duty in one or more of these services. Have our loved ones served and/or died in vain? If we let those that intend to

completely destroy the United States of America to continue toward their goal that is exactly what it will be - our loved ones will have died in vain. We must fight in every way we can to stop the destruction of our country. I pray we will not have to another Revolutionary War, but we must be prepared and if we let them take the Second Amendment from us or render it useless we won't have a chance. When we ask/beg you to call, email, write our Congress members or Kansas Legislators we are asking because it is important. We must stop Obamacare, decrease Federal spending, keep our Military strong, take control of our schools curriculum, and secure our borders. "We the People" can do this - if everyone does their part. Do you know what Agenda 21 is? Check it out - if we don't get our country back to the GOD, We the People and the Constitution we'll all find out what Agenda 21 is - we'll find out the hard way because we'll be living it!!!

DHS Nullifies Fourth Amendment Rights of Millions of Americans

posted on [February 14, 2013](#) by [Da Tagliare](#)

The Fourth Amendment to the US Constitution reads:

“The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.”

The [Department of Homeland Security](#) doesn't believe in the [Fourth Amendment](#) and has recently issued statements to that affect. They are claiming that if you live within 100 miles of the international border that they have the right to search all electronic devices, including cell phones and laptop and tablet computers without probable cause or first securing a warrant. The 100-mile distance is being referred to as the border security search zones.

This would include cities such as San Diego, Escondido, El Centro, Yuma, Tucson, Las Cruces, Carlsbad, El Paso, Brownsville, Seattle, Grand Forks, Toledo, Cleveland, Erie, Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, and Montpelier to name just a few.

But wait, it gets even better! If you live anywhere in Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Hawaii, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey or Rhode Island, DHS says the search zones encompass the entire state. Let's not leave New York, Pennsylvania and Virginia out of the fun, because large areas of these states are also being designated as search zones.

If you live anywhere in any of the designated search zones, the feds claim to have the right to invade your privacy and conduct an unconstitutional search of your private possessions without any probable cause or warrant. If you ask me, this sounds a lot like the Gestapo under Adolf Hitler in Nazi Germany, which is what I compared the Department of Homeland Security to in [an earlier article](#). This just helps to reinforce my claims. Read more: <http://godfatherpolitics.com/9446/dhs-nullifies-fourth-amendment-rights-of-millions-of-americans/#ixzz2L2enXk6B>

The following were taken from British Newspapers:

For Obama so loved the poor, he created millions more!!

Citizen #1: Say, did you hear that the Obama administration is sending stimulus checks to a lot of dead people?

Citizen #2: Well, it's only fair..... After all, they voted for him!!

Obama informs citizens: To cut the budget, I'm killing funds for the Mexican border fence, and also I'm killing funds which pay to put illegal aliens in jail. I'll use the money I save to hire more teachers who speak Spanish. For some reason, we just seem to need more and more of them!!

Swimmer to Life Guard: Excuse me, but there are people drowning out there!!

Life Guard to Swimmer: Sorry, I'm a "recovery summer" lifeguard.... I don't actually save anyone, I just blame the last lifeguard.

Info from TV: The President arrived in Europe for the economic summit with an unprecedented entourage of over 500 people, including his personal chef, hairdresser, make-up people, writers, a man to play basketball with, and 12 Teleprompters. The President is there to convince the world that America is going to be more thrifty.

President: Instead of sending troops to guard the Arizona border, we sent signs warning about the areas controlled by the Mexican drug cartels!

Citizen: Using that same logic, wouldn't it make sense to take away your Secret Service and surround you with signs that say "Please don't shoot the President?"

Member of Obama's staff: Mr. President, We've got to do something about the 60% of Americans who don't believe you're a Christian!

President Obama: Can we behead them?

Staff: Well, uh-oh-uh-----

If you would like to forward this Newsletter as is on to others – be my guest.

If you would like to send comments to the editor – be my guest.

If you have an editorial to submit – be my guest.

Flint Hills TEA Party contact information: www.flinthillsteaparty.com; fhttp@flinthillsteaparty.com or facebook – Flint Hills TEA Party; Manhattan contact – Chuck Henderson, email: cehenderson@cox.net; Syllda Nichols, editor, email: syllda@gemsandwood.com. Syllda sends the Newsletter via snail mail. Snail mail contact: Flint Hills TEA Party of KS, 1228 Westloop Place, PMB #326, Manhattan, KS 66502-2840. All donations for the Educational Fund (payable to "Educational Fund")_will also be accepted at this address and is tax deductible.

Reprinting of this Newsletter may be done in whole, however, copying any part requires permission given by the persons listed above.